

## Comments on evaluation of decay data by M.M. Bé and V. Chisté

This evaluation was completed in November 1997 and reviewed in December 2009.

### 1) Decay scheme

The  $J^\pi$  values are from **NDS 70,2** (1993).

The level energies are deduced from the  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

### 2) Nuclear Data

- The Q value is from **Audi *et al.* 2003**
- The measured half-life values are, in years:

28 (3)	<b>J. Sosniak et al.</b> , Can. J. Phys 37,1 (1959) 1
30,2 (5)	<b>G. Harbottle</b> , J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 12 (1959) 6
38 (3)	<b>E.H. Appelman</b> , Phys. Rev. 121,1 (1961) --- uncertainty divided
38 (4)	<b>T. Rupnik</b> , Phys. Rev. C6,4 (1972) 1433
33,4 (8)	<b>M. Yanokura et al.</b> , Nuclear Physics A299 (1978) 92 --- omitted from analysis Hoppe et al (1982)
34,9 (4)	<b>D.E. Alburger et al.</b> , Phys. Rev. C 41,5 (1990) 2320 --- uncertainty divided
32,7 (8)	<b>W.J. Lin et al.</b> , J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Letters 153,1 (1991) 51
31,55 (5)	<b>M.P. Unterwegger et al.</b> , NIM A312 (1992) 349 --- replaces [82HoZJ], Hoppe et al.
31,549 (41)	<b>M.P. Unterwegger</b> , Applied Rad. Isot. 56 (2002) 125 – replaces the previous one

1. The value from **M. Yanokura et al.** has been omitted because it is dependent on:

- the EC/ $\alpha$  branching ratio of At-211,
- the probability for the 6868 keV  $\alpha$ -transition from Po-211 to the 569,7 keV level in Pb-207,
- the half-life of At-211,
- the decay probability of Bi-207 feeding the 569,7 keV level in Pb-207.

All these data were updated since 1978, it should be necessary to re-calculate the value taking into account all these parameters. So, this value is not included in the data set.

2. The uncertainty on the **Appelman's** value is given for  $3\sigma$ , it has been divided by 3 to give 38 (1).

3. The uncertainty on the **Alburger's** value is given for  $2\sigma$ , it has been divided by 2 to give 34,9 (2).

**Conclusion:** The adopted value of **32,9 (14) a** is from the LRSW analysis of the seven accepted values. The uncertainty on the Unterwegger (2002) value has been increased to (0,177) in order to reduce its relative weight to 50 %. Then  $\sigma_{\text{int}} = 0,13$ ;  $\sigma_{\text{ext}} = 0,75$  and, reduced- $\chi^2 = 36,2$ . The final value is the weighted average and the uncertainty is expanded to include the most precise value. New measurements would be desirable.

## 2.1) Electron-Capture Transitions

- The EC transition energies are deduced from  $Q(\text{EC}) = 2397,2 (21) \text{ keV}$  and from the individual level energies.
- The transition probabilities are deduced from the total gamma-ray transition probability balance at each level.
- The electron-capture sub shell ratios were calculated by using the LOGFT program.

### LOGFT calculated values

level	$P_K$	$P_L$	$P_{M+}$	$(L+M+...)/K$
570	0,797(8)	0,150 (3)	0,049 (1)	0,25
1633	0,733(7)	0,199 (4)	0,069 (1)	0,365
2340		0,651 (6)	0,349 (6)	

Experimental values from **Mandal et al.** for the transitions to the 570-keV and 1633-keV levels:

level	$P_K$	$(L+M+...)/K$
570	0,59 (6)	0,68 (16)
1633	0,73 (6)	0,37 (12)

The  $P_K$  value for the transition to the 570 keV level is the weighted average of two values (0,62 (8) and 0,59 (6)) obtained by two different coincidence method measurements. These values are dependant on:  $\omega_K$ ,  $K\alpha/(K\alpha + K\beta)$ ,  $\alpha_K$ ,  $\alpha_T$  and on the EC branching ratios. By using, for these parameters, the values evaluated in this work the re-calculated value for the first  $P_K$  is = 0,73 instead of 0,62, in agreement, within the uncertainty limits, with the theoretical values from the LOGFT program.

Experimental values from **A De Beer et al.** and **M. Tan et al.** for the transition to the 2340-keV level:

A. De Beer,  $P_L = 0,663 (14)$ , this measurement does not depend on any other data.

M. Tan,  $P_L = 0,57 (3)$ , this measurement depends on  $\alpha_K$  and  $\alpha_T$  for the 570 keV  $\gamma$ -transition. In this case  $\alpha_K = 0,0159$  and  $\alpha_T = 0,0218$ .

## 2.2) $\beta^+$ transitions

A weak  $\beta^+$  transition to the 570-keV level was reported by **Rupnik** (1972) to be  $(1,2 (2)) 10^{-2} \%$ .

## 2.3) Gamma transitions

- Internal Conversion coefficients

The adopted values are from the LRSW analysis of all the values published after 1963. An earlier value from **R.A. Ricci** (1957) was not used due to its large uncertainty. The values from **E. Baldinger et al.** (1967) have been replaced by those of **E. Baldinger et al.** (1969). Two set of values were published by **Sen and Rizvi**, [1967Ri00, 1967Se15], one in a B.A.P.S. abstract (June), the other one in N.I.M. (July); only the last one was used because it gave a detailed description.

Internal Conversion coefficients measured values (All values are multiplied by  $10^2$ ) :

**- 570 keV gamma transition**

	$\alpha_K - 570 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1967KL02	1,56	0,07	1969Ba53 and 1974Mu16 are rejected due to the Chauvenet criterion Internal uncertainty = 0,009; external uncertainty = 0,24 Reduced- $\chi^2 = 0,15$ No value has a relative weight greater than 50 %. LRSW has used the weighted average and the external uncertainty. The evaluated value is = 1,574 (24)
1967VA25	1,59	0,06	
1967SE15	1,60	0,10	
1968AN04	1,56	0,05	
1969HE19	1,55	0,05	
1969AnZU	1,60	0,05	
1969BA53	1,50	0,15	
1974MU16	2,30	0,03	

	$\alpha_L - 570 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1967SE15	0,49	0,03	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 1,1$ Internal uncertainty = 0,0060 External uncertainty = 0,0064 1988Fu05 amounts for 74 % LRSW has used the weighted average and the external uncertainty The evaluated value is = 0,452 (6)
1968AN04	0,452	0,047	
1969HE19	0,444	0,021	
1969BA53	0,50	0,10	
1974AV03	0,483	0,018	
1988FU05	0,446	0,007	

	$\alpha_M - 570 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1967SE15	0,10	0,05	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 3$ Internal uncertainty = 0,003; external uncertainty = 0,005 weighted average and external uncertainty = 0,114 (5)
1974AV03	0,138	0,010	
1988FU05	0,112	0,003	

	$\alpha_{NOP} - 570 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1974AV03	0,0288	0,0032	
1988FU05	0,0341	0,0017	

	$\alpha_{M+} - 570 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1968AN04	0,172	0,047	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 1,5$ Internal uncertainty = 0,003; external uncertainty = 0,004 evaluated: weighted average = 0,1485 (39)
1969BA53	0,168	0,035	
1974AV03	0,167	0,010	
1988FU05	0,1461	0,0034	

**- 897 keV gamma transition**

	$\alpha_K - 897 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1970AhZX	1,90	0,30	No value has a relative weight greater than 50 %.
1974AV03	1,81	0,25	Internal uncertainty = 0,13; external uncertainty = 0,07 reduced- $\chi^2 = 0,24$
1975JA04	1,60	0,30	LRSW has used the weighted average.
1988FU05	1,90	0,23	The evaluated value is = 1,82 (13)

*- 1064 keV gamma transition*

	$\alpha_K - 1064 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1967SE15	8,5	0,5	1967Se15, 1967Kl02 and 1988Fu05 are rejected due to Chauvenet criterion  Reduced- $\chi^2 = 0,03$ Internal uncertainty = 0,23; external uncertainty = 0,04  Adopted: weighted average = 9,53 (23)
1967KL02	9,0	0,9	
1969ANZU	9,4	0,9	
1969HE19	9,6	0,3	
1969AN00	9,4	0,9	
1969BA53	9,5	1,3	
1974AV03	9,43	0,47	
1974MU16	9,5	1,1	
1988FU05	9,86	0,35	

	$\alpha_L - 1064 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1967SE15	2,33	0,15	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 1,3$ Internal uncertainty = 0,06; external uncertainty = 0,07 Adopted: weighted average = 2,47 (7)
1969BA53	2,97	0,46	
1974AV03	2,23	0,16	
1988FU05	2,51	0,10	

	$\alpha_M - 1064 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1967SE15	0,44	0,09	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 2,2$ ; Critical- $\chi^2 = 4,6$ Internal uncertainty = 0,022; external uncertainty = 0,033 Adopted: weighted average = 0,591 (33)
1974AV03	0,55	0,05	
1988FU05	0,615	0,026	
	$\alpha_{M+} - 1064 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1969BA53	1,05	0,17	

	$\alpha_{NOP} - 1064 \text{ keV}$		
1974AV03	0,17	0,03	Internal uncertainty = 0,012; external uncertainty = 0,010 Adopted: weighted average = 0,194 (12)
1988FU05	0,198	0,013	

*- 1442 keV gamma transition*

	$\alpha_K - 1442 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1974AV03	0,27	0,04	
	$\alpha_L - 1442 \text{ keV}$		
1974AV03	0,042	0,008	

- 1770 keV gamma transition

	$\alpha_K - 1770 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1971Al03	0,34	0,03	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 0,65$ Internal uncertainty = 0,018; external uncertainty = 0,014 Uncertainty increased to 0,025 to reduce weight to 50 % evaluated: weighted average = 0,346 (18)
1974AV03	0,30	0,05	
1988FU05	0,362	0,019	

	$\alpha_L - 1770 \text{ keV}$	$u_c$	
1974AV03	0,041	0,009	Mean = 0,0049 (8), WM = 0,053 Internal uncertainty = 0,04; external uncertainty = 0,07 evaluated: simple mean = 0,049 (8)
1988FU05	0,0569	0,0048	

	$\alpha_{M+} - 1770 \text{ keV}$		
1974AV03	0,0095	0,0024	Mean = 0,0126 (31), WM = 0,0136 Internal uncertainty = 0,0029; external uncertainty = 0,0017 evaluated: simple mean = 0,0126 (31)
1988FU05	0,0157	0,0017	

Comparison of experimental results and theoretical values : (  $\alpha \times 10^2$  )

Theoretical ICC values were derived from the Band *et al.* tables with the program BrIcc for the “frozen orbital” approximation (Kibédi *et al.*).

Multipolarities and mixing ratios were deduced from comparison between measured and theoretical ICC values and by comparison with  $\delta$  values obtained by angular correlation measurements.

	$\alpha_K$	$\alpha_L$	$\alpha_M$	$\alpha_{M+}$	$\alpha_T$	$\delta$	Multipolarity
<b>570 keV</b>							
Exper.	1,574 (24)	0,452 (6)	0,114 (5)	0,1485 (39)	2,174 (9)		
BrIccFO	1,583 (23)	0,439 (7)	0,1081 (16)		2,16 (3)		E2
Adopted	1,583 (23)	0,439 (7)	0,1081 (16)		2,16 (3)		E2
<b>897 keV</b>	$\alpha_K$						
Exper.	1,82 (13)						
BrIccFO	1,82 (8)					0,3 (3)	M1+8,3%E2
Adopted	1,82 (8)	0,304 (12)	0,071 (13)		2,22 (9)		
<b>1064 keV</b>	$\alpha_K$	$\alpha_L$	$\alpha_M$	$\alpha_{NOP}$	$\alpha_T$		
Exper.	9,53 (23)	2,47 (7)	0,591 (3)	0,194 (12)	12,78 (24)		
BrIccFO	9,43 (14)	2,38 (4)	0,589 (9)	0,1833 (25)	12,57 (18)	0,01 (1)	M4+0,01%E5
Adopted	9,53 (23)	2,47 (7)	0,591 (33)	0,194 (12)	12,78 (24)		
<b>1442 keV</b>	$\alpha_K$	$\alpha_L$					
Exper.	0,27 (4)	0,042 (8)					
BrIccFO	0,271 (4)	0,0468 (7)					E2
Adopted	0,271 (4)	0,0468 (7)					
<b>1770 keV</b>	$\alpha_K$	$\alpha_L$	$\alpha_M$	$\alpha_{M+}$	$\alpha_T$		
Exper.	0,346 (18)	0,049 (8)		0,0126 (31)	0,408 (20)		
BrIccFO	0,342 (5)	0,0555 (8)			0,442 (7)	0,05 (5)	M1+0,0025%E2
Adopted	0,342 (5)	0,0556 (8)			0,442 (7)	0,05 (5)	
Measured internal-pair formation coefficient, $\alpha_\pi = 0,025 (5) 10^{-2}$ (Allan 1971)							

- Gamma transition probabilities

The transition probabilities were calculated from the adopted values of the ICC and the absolute emission intensities.

#### 4.1) X-ray emissions

- $\omega_K$  is from **Bambynek**,  $\omega_L$   $\eta_{KL}$   $\eta_{LM}$  from **Schönfeld et al.**,  $\omega_M$  from **Hubbell et al.**  
A value of  $\omega_K = 0,972$  (8) was measured by **Hansen et al.** (1972) and is in good agreement.
- X-ray energy: the wavelengths are from **Bearden** and converted into energy with  $1 \text{ \AA} = 1,000\ 014\ 81$  (92)  $10^{-10}$  m.
- The emission intensities are calculated with the EMISSION program from PTB.  
The ratios used are in good agreement with the measured values from **Dasmahapatra et al.**

	EMISSION	Measured
$K\alpha_2/K\alpha_1 =$	0,5950 (25)	0,5984 (42)
$K\beta/K\alpha =$	0,279 (4)	0,283 (9)
$K\beta_2'/K\beta_1' =$	0,302 (5)	0,302 (30)

- Some others measurements were made by **Campbell et al.:**  
 $K\beta_1/K\alpha_1 = 0,2215$  (30)  
 $K\beta_2/K\alpha_1 = 0,083$  (1)

#### 4.2) Gamma emissions

The  $\gamma$ -ray energies are from **Helmer et al.** for those of 569, 1063 and 1770 keV. Those at 897 and 1442 keV are from **Jardine** and 368 keV is from level energies.

All the experimental emission intensities were done relatively to that of the 570 keV gamma-ray, except **Lin et al.** where the absolute intensity is assumed to be 97,75.

The adopted values are from the LRSW analysis of all the known values, except Aubin et al. because no uncertainties were given.

897 keV	$I_{rel}$	$u_c$	
1969Ra13	0,150	0,015	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 1,23$ ; critical- $\chi^2 = 3,3$ Internal uncertainty = 0,0043; external uncertainty = 0,0048 LRSW has used the weighted average and the external uncertainty. The adopted value is = 0,1313 (48)
1975Ja04	0,14	0,02	
1980Yo05	0,122	0,013	
1989Sc**	0,1274	0,0052	
1991Li10	0,153	0,015	

1064 keV	$I_{rel}$	$u_c$	
1967Do09	78,4	2,40	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 2$ ; critical- $\chi^2 = 2,3$ Internal uncertainty = 0,15; external uncertainty = 0,22 LRSW has used the weighted average. The adopted value is = 76,29 (22)
1969Ra13	78,7	4,00	
1972Ro03	75,6	0,50	
1968He00	74,0	2,00	
1975JA04	75,5	2,3	
1973Wi10	77,7	0,45	
1980Yo05	75,79	0,25	
1989De**	76,5	0,50	
1989Sc**	76,584	0,367	
1990He16	76,4	0,50	
1991Li10	77,7	1,4	

1442 keV	$I_{rel}$	$u_c$	
1969Ra13	0,150	0,015	Internal uncertainty = 0,0025; external uncertainty = 0,0018 Reduced- $\chi^2 = 0,65$ ; critical- $\chi^2 = 3$ LRSW has used the weighted average and the internal uncertainty. The adopted value is = 0,1345 (23)
1975JA04	0,15	0,02	
1980Yo05	0,132	0,005	
1979Si17	0,144	0,024	
1989Sc**	0,1337	0,0027	
1991Li10	0,147	0,012	

1770 keV	$I_{rel}$	$u_c$	
1967Do09	7,07	0,35	
1969Ra13	7,5	0,4	<--- This value is rejected due to the Chauvenet criterion
1975JA04	6,95	0,20	Reduced- $\chi^2 = 0,14$ ; critical - $\chi^2 = 3,3$ Internal uncertainty = 0,026; external uncertainty = 0,01 The adopted value is = 7,028 (26)
1980Yo05	7,026	0,029	
1989Sc**	7,023	0,068	
1991Li10	7,11	0,13	

### Gamma - 328 keV

A weak gamma emission was reported by **Schima**, with a relative intensity of 0,0045 (36).

### Gamma - 1460 keV

A transition with  $E\gamma = 1460$  keV was reported by **Singh et al.**, nevertheless in spite of its relatively great intensity (= 1,65 (6)), it has never been confirmed by other authors.

#### Absolute emission intensities:

Considering the decay scheme, the absolute emission intensity of the 570 keV gamma ray is calculated by:

$$\Sigma P(\gamma + ce)(570 + 897) = 100$$

The  $\alpha_T$  coefficients are those determined above.

$E\gamma$	Absolute $\gamma$ -ray intensity
328	0,0044 (35)
570	97,76 (3)
897	0,1284 (47)
1064	74,58 (22)
1442	0,1315 (22)
1770	6,871 (26)

## 5) Electron emissions

- The intensities of Auger electrons emitted were deduced from the decay scheme data by using the EMISSION program.
- The intensities of conversion electrons were calculated from the conversion coefficients and the gamma emission intensities.

## 6) Main production modes

From CEA/LMRI

## 7) References

- R.A.Ricci. *Physica* 23 (1957) 693. ICC, not used
- G.Harbottle. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 12 (1959) 6. Half-life
- J.Sosniak, R.E.Bell. *Can. J. Phys.* 37,1 (1959) 1. Half-life
- E.H.Appelman. *Phys. Rev.* 121,1 (1961). Half-life
- A De Beer, H.P.Blok, J.Blok. *Physica* 30 (1964) 1938. Electron Capture Coefficients
- P.Kleinheinz, R.Vukanovic, L.Samuelsson, D.Krmpotic, H.Lindström, K.Siegbahn. *Nucl. Phys.* A93 (1967) 63. ICC
- D.P.Donnelly, H.W.Baer, J.J.Reidy, M.L.Wiedenbeck. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* 57 (1967) 219. Gamma emission probabilities
- S.K.Sen, S.I.H.Rizvi. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* 57 (1967) 227. ICC
- B.Van Nooijen, H.Van Krugten. *Phys. Lett.* 25 B,8 (1967) 510. ICC
- E.Baldinger, E.Haller. *Helv. Phys. Acta* 40 (1967) 800. ICC
- J.A.Bearden. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 39,1 (1967) 78. X-Rays energies
- S.I.H.Rizvi, S.K.Sen. *B.A.P.S.* 12 (1967) 715. ICC, not used
- V.Andersen, C.J.Christensen. *Nucl. Phys.* A113 (1968) 81. ICC
- V.Andersen. *Riso Report* 195 (1969). ICC
- G.Hedin, A.Bäcklin. *Ark. Fysik* 38 (1969) 593. ICC, Gamma emission probabilities
- E.Baldinger, E.Haller. *Helv. Phys. Acta* 42 (1969) 949. ICC
- P.Venugopala Rao, R.E.Wood, J.M.Palms, R.W.Fink. *Phys. Rev* 178,4 (1969) 1997. Gamma emission probabilities
- G.Aubin, J.Barrette, M.Barrette, S.Monaro. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* 76 (1969) 93. Gamma emission probabilities, not used
- B.Ahlesten, A.Backlin. *Report NP-18288(LF-26)* (1970). K ICC (897 keV)
- C.J.Allan. *Can. J. Phys.* 49,2 (1971) 157. ICC
- J.S.Hansen, J.C.McGeorge, R.W.Fink, R.E.Wood, P.Venugopala Rao, J.M.Palms. *Z. Phys.* 249 (1972) 373. K fluorescence yield, not used
- D.C.Robinson, J.M.Freeman. *Nucl. Phys.* A181 (1972) 645. Gamma emission probabilities
- T.Rupnik. *Phys. Rev.* C6,4 (1972) 1433. Half-life, Beta plus emission probability
- D.W.Nix, J.C.McGeorge, R.W.Fink. *Phys. Lett.* 46A,3 (1973) 205. X-Ray emissions, not used
- J.B.Willett, G.T.Emery. *Ann. Phys.* 78 (1973) 496. Gamma emission probabilities
- F.T.Avignone. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* 116 (1974) 521. ICC
- P.Mukherjee, B.K.Dasmahapatra. *J. Phys.* A7,16 (1974) 2008. ICC
- L.J.Jardine. *Phys. Rev. C* 11,4 (1975) 1385. Gamma emission probabilities
- M.Yanokura, H.Kudo, H.Nakahara, K.Miyano, S.Ohya, O.Nitoh. *Nucl. Phys.* A299 (1978) 92. Half-life
- G.P.Singh, R.K.Mishra, A.K.Singh, A.Kumar. *Czech. J. Phys.* B29 (1979) 870. Gamma emission probabilities
- Y.Yoshikawa, Y.Iwata, T.Kaku, T.Katoh, J.Z.Ruan, T.Kojima, Y.Kawada. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* 174 (1980) 109. Gamma emission probabilities
- M.Tan, R.A.Braga, R.W.Fink. *Nucl. Phys.* A388 (1982) 498. Electron Capture Coefficients
- W.Bambynek. *X-84 Proc. X-Ray and Inner-Shell Processes in Atoms, Molecules and Solids*, A. Meisel Ed., Leipzig Aug. 20-23 (1984). K fluorescence yield
- A.M.Mandal, A.P.Patro. *J. Phys.* G11 (1985) 1025. Electron Capture Coefficients, not used
- Y.Fujita, M.Imamura, K.Omata, Y.Isozumi, S.Ohya. *Nucl. Phys.* A484 (1988) 77. ICC
- F.J.Schima. *IAEA-CRP GS/59* (1989). Gamma emission probabilities
- K.Debertin, U.Schötzig. *IAEA-CRP GS/55* (1989). Gamma emission probabilities
- D.E.Alburger, G.Harbottle. *Phys. Rev. C* 41,5 (1990) 2320. Half-life
- R.G.Helmer. *Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isotop.* 41 (1990) 791. Gamma emission probabilities
- TECDOC-619. *IAEA. A-1400 Vienna* (1991). X-Ray emission probabilities, not used
- W.J.Lin, G.Harbottle. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Letters* 153,1 (1991) 51. Half-life, Gamma emission probabilities
- M.P.Unterwegger, D.D.Hoppes, F.J.Schima. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.* A312 (1992) 349. Half-life
- LOGFT program, ENSDF. BNL (1993). lg ft



**Comments on evaluation**

- J.H.Hubbell, Trehan P.N., Nirmal Singh, Chand B., Mehta D., Garg M.L., Garg R.R., Surinder Singh, Puri S.J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 23-2 (1994) 339. M fluorescence yield
- B.Dasmahapatra, A.Mukherjee. Phys. Rev. A51,5 (1995) 3546. X-Ray emission probabilities, not used
- E.Schönfeld, H.Janssen. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A369 (1996) 527. L fluorescence yield
- E.Schönfeld. EMISSION program, PTB (1997). Auger and X-ray emission probabilities
- R.G.Helmer, C.Van der Leun. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A450 (2000) 35. Gamma energies
- I.M. Band, M.B. Trzhaskovskaya, C.W. Nestor, P.O. Tikkanen, S. Raman. Atom. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 91 (2002) 1. Theoretical internal conversion coefficients
- M. P. Unterweger. Appl. Rad. Isotopes 56 (2002) 125. Half-life
- G.Audi, A.H.Wapstra, C.Thibault. Nucl. Phys. A729 (2003) 129. Q
- T. Kibédi, T.W. Burrows, M.B. Trzhaskovskaya, P.M. Davidson, C.W. Nestor Jr. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A589 (2008) 202. Theoretical ICC